

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
 ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065
 rvannest@kvn.com
 CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325
 canderson@kvn.com
 DANIEL PURCELL - #191424
 dpurcell@kvn.com
 633 Battery Street
 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
 Telephone: 415.391.5400
 Facsimile: 415.397.7188

KING & SPALDING LLP
 DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. - #112279
 fzimmer@kslaw.com
 CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323
 csabnis@kslaw.com
 101 Second St., Suite 2300
 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Tel: 415.318.1200
 Fax: 415.318.1300

KING & SPALDING LLP
 SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 sweingaertner@kslaw.com
 ROBERT F. PERRY
 rperry@kslaw.com
 BRUCE W. BABER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 1185 Avenue of the Americas
 New York, NY 10036
 Tel: 212.556.2100
 Fax: 212.556.2222

IAN C. BALLON - #141819
 ballon@gtlaw.com
 HEATHER MEEKER - #172148
 meekerh@gtlaw.com
 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
 1900 University Avenue
 East Palo Alto, CA 94303
 Tel: 650.328.8500
 Fax: 650.328-8508

Attorneys for Defendant
 GOOGLE INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

 GOOGLE INC.,

 Defendant.

Case No. 3:10-cv-03561-WHA

**DECLARATION OF DANIEL PURCELL
 IN SUPPORT OF ORACLE AMERICA,
 INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
 FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF
 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO
 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF
 FROM NON-DISPOSITIVE ORDER OF
 MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Judge: Hon. William Alsup

Date Comp. Filed: October 27, 2010

Trial Date: October 31, 2011

1 I, Daniel Purcell, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a partner in the law firm of Keker & Van Nest LLP, counsel to Google Inc.
3 (“Google”) in the present case. I submit this declaration in support of Oracle America, Inc.’s
4 (“Oracle”) Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Plaintiff’s Opposition to
5 Defendant’s Motion for Relief from Non-Dispositive Order of Magistrate Judge. [Dkt. No. 466].
6 I have knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called to testify as a witness thereto could
7 do so competently under oath.

8 2. Portions of Oracle’s Opposition quote the Lindholm email, which is the subject of
9 Google’s motion for relief from Magistrate Judge Ryu’s nondispositive pretrial order in this case.
10 All versions of the Lindholm email and drafts thereof are marked “PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY-
11 CLIENT COMMUNICATION/ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT,” and are designated as
12 “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY’S EYES ONLY” pursuant to the Order Approving
13 Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated Conditions [Dkt. No. 68] governing this case. The
14 Lindholm email contains privileged information about Google’s investigation of and potential
15 responses to Oracle’s infringement claims. But even leaving aside whether the email is
16 privileged, Google also considers the information contained in the email to be highly confidential
17 under the standard set forth in the protective order in this case. Under no circumstances would
18 Google publicly disclose during the normal course of business, or absent a direct court order, any
19 information about its litigation strategy or potential responses to claims asserted against it.
20 Public disclosure of this information would cause significant and undue harm to Google’s
21 business.

22 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this
23 declaration was executed at San Francisco, California on October 3, 2011.

24
25 By: /s/ Daniel Purcell
26 DANIEL PURCELL
27
28